

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,999	707,999 01/30/2004		Srinivasan Chandrasekar	A4-1719	1998	
27127	7590	10/19/2006		EXAMINER		
HARTMA 552 EAST 7		RTMAN, P.C.	MAI, NGOCLAN THI			
VALPARA:			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/707,999	10/707,999 CHANDRASEKAR ET AL		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	T	
		Ngoclan T. Mai	1742		
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	ication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence a	ddress	
THE - Extending - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 to period for reply is specified above, the maximum structure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, manunication. o) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) will, by statute, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>07 June 2006</i> .			
2a) <u></u>		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the n					
	closed in accordance with the practi	•			
Disposit	tion of Claims	•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-25 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,7-12,15 and Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2,4,6,13,14 and 16 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	and 17-25 is/are withdrawn from rejected.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	<u> </u>	to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any object	•	•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the draw	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.	
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
a)	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received i of the priority documents have be nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this Nationa	al Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	ew Summary (PTO-413)		
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pimation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (P)	TO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/707,999

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 13, 14, and 16 are currently under examination.

### Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In the present instance, claim 4 recites the broad recitation "less than 500 nm", which depends on instant claim 1, and instant claim 1 recites "... about 30 to less than 500 nm" which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 13, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lavernia (US Patent 5,939,146).

Art Unit: 1742

'146 discloses a product consisting of polycrystalline chips wherein the chips have nanocrystalline microstructures (abstract) and being formed of a material chosen from the group consisting of metals and metal alloys (col. 6, lines 49-51), intermetallic materials (col. 6, lines 27-28), and ceramic materials (col. 5, lines 60-61 and col. 6 lines 12-13), wherein the chips in the instant invention are produced by a machining operation so as to be in the form of ribbons, wires, filaments, and/or platelets, wherein if the product consists essentially of the chips, the chips are held together by consolidation and the product is a monolithic material consisting essentially of nanocrystalline.

Although '146 does not specifically disclose that the particles are in the nanometer range of 30 to less than 500 nm, but one skilled in the art would understand what is meant by the '146 patent when nanoscale is discussed. Due to the nature of the term "nanoscale", then at least obvious due to the broadness of the instantly disclosed range. The value of 17 nm disclosed by the reference at col. 11, lines 47-48 appears to be just an example as the specification is not so limited and broadly discloses the use of nanoscale particles. At col 11, lines 8-10, '146 discloses that the disperoids are from 50-300 nm. It should be pointed out that the instant application claims that the chips are held together by consolidation and not chips alone. Therefore, in the absence of factual evidence, the claimed product would not be materially different from the nanocrystalline coating of Lavernia ('146).

**Art Unit: 1742** 

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 8 and 9, filed June 7, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 2, 4, and 6 based on Lavernia ('146) under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made for obviousness in view of Lavernia ('146).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessee Roe whose telephone number is (571) 272-5938. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JR

NGOCLAN MAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER